International Journal of Management, Accounting & Finance (KBIJMAF)
Volume. 2, Nomor. 2, Year 2025
e-ISSN: 3048-1104, dan p-ISSN: 3048-1112, Hal. 67 - 86
DOI: https://doi.org/10.70142/kbijmaf.v2i1.268
Available online at: https://www.jurnal-mnj.stiekasihbangsa.ac.id/index.php/KBIJMAF

Complexity, Clarity, and Earnings Management: The Impact of Financial Report Obfuscation on Investor Perception and Stock Valuation

Ngadi Permana[1], Farah Qalbia[2], Eri Kusnanto[3]

[1-3] Accounting, STIE Kasih Bangsa, Jakarta, Indonesia

Email : ngadi.permana@stiekasihbangsa.ac.id, farah@stiekasihbangsa.ac.id, erikusnanto@stiekasihbangsa.ac.id,

Abstract*. This qualitative literature review explores the relationship between financial report obfuscation, complexity, transparency, and earnings management, focusing on how these factors influence investor perception and stock valuation. The review examines the strategic manipulation of financial reports by managers, specifically through obfuscation techniques that distort financial transparency. Obfuscation, by disaggregating financial data into numerous line items, can exacerbate biases in reported profitability, leading investors to extrapolate distorted valuations. This paper reviews the interplay between complexity and transparency in financial reporting and its implications for earnings management. It highlights the challenges investors face in interpreting complex financial reports, leading to potential misvaluation of firms. The review also considers how various factors, such as investor sophistication and managerial honesty, affect the degree of obfuscation and earnings management.*

Keywords: *financial report obfuscation, earnings management, investor perception, stock valuation, financial transparency.*

INTRODUCTION

The role of financial reporting in capital markets has long been recognized as central to investor decision-making and corporate valuation. Investors rely on financial reports to form expectations about the future performance and financial health of firms. However, the increasing complexity and strategic obfuscation of these reports raise critical concerns regarding their effectiveness in conveying accurate information. This paper explores the relationship between complexity, transparency, and earnings management, focusing on how financial report obfuscation can influence investor perception and stock valuation.

A key challenge in financial reporting is that not all investors have the ability to fully comprehend the information contained in a financial report. Given the bounded rationality of investors, they often rely on sampled portions of the report and extrapolate their valuation of the firm from these limited observations. This behavior, described as sample-based reasoning, can lead to inaccurate assessments of a firm's financial health. Managers, understanding the limitations of investor attention and understanding, may

Received: 10 April 2025; Revised 14 April 2025 Accepted: 15 April 2025; Published: 28 April 2025

exploit this by manipulating the complexity and transparency of financial reports, thereby influencing stock prices through earnings management (Bianchi & Jehiel, 2015; 2020; Gneezy, 2005).

Obfuscation, in this context, refers to the strategic manipulation of financial reports to create unnecessary complexity, making it more difficult for investors to extract accurate information (Fang, Huang, & Wang, 2017; Hirschleifer & Teoh, 2003). Managers can achieve obfuscation by disaggregating reports into a larger number of line items, each of which is a nonnegative signal whose mean is constrained to match the biased profitability of the firm. This disaggregation can lead to a situation where the financial report is more difficult to interpret, reducing its transparency. The synergies between obfuscation and bias can make the reports not only less transparent but also more prone to misinterpretation by investors (Fischer & Verrecchia, 2000; Fischer & Stocken, 2004).

The interaction between obfuscation and earnings management has been explored in prior literature, but the unique combination of sample-based reasoning and strategic reporting has not received sufficient attention. According to traditional models, earnings management tends to decrease as the complexity of the financial report increases, as noise in the report makes it harder for investors to discern accurate information (Fischer & Stocken, 2004). However, in a model where investors extrapolate their valuations from randomly sampled parts of the report, obfuscation may actually increase the degree of earnings management. This is because the less transparent a report is, the more likely investors are to rely on biased or incomplete information, creating opportunities for managers to exaggerate stock prices through earnings management strategies (Chan & Gao, 2014).

A novel aspect of this model is its prediction that complexity in financial reporting, resulting from obfuscation, is positively related to earnings management. This prediction challenges traditional models, where higher levels of noise typically reduce the ability to manage earnings (Chan & Gao, 2014; Fischer & Verrecchia, 2000). The implications for policy are significant. For instance, increasing transparency in financial reporting, such as through the adoption of International Financial Reporting Standards (IFRS), may reduce the ability of managers to manipulate earnings, as greater transparency typically corresponds to less room for obfuscation (Barth, Landsman, & Lang, 2008).

The assumption that investors do not fully understand the financial report has significant implications for both financial reporting practices and investor behavior. While investors may have access to the entire report, they often rely on only a subset of it when forming their assessments, a behavior known as sampling. This assumption aligns with the findings of studies on limited attention and bounded rationality in financial decision-making (Hirshleifer & Teoh, 2003; Lo, Ramos, & Rogo, 2017). Moreover, while this paper does not address all the psychological biases that may affect earnings management strategies, such as overconfidence or anchoring, it highlights the critical role that complexity and obfuscation play in influencing investor decisions and stock valuation.

Additionally, the role of investor sophistication in interpreting complex financial reports is crucial. Less sophisticated investors may be more susceptible to the effects of obfuscation, leading to higher levels of earnings management. Conversely, more sophisticated investors may be able to navigate through complexity and see through obfuscation, potentially reducing the impact of earnings management. The extent to which managers can exploit investor sophistication to manipulate earnings depends on various factors, including the presence of honest managers and the constraints placed on investors' off-equilibrium beliefs (Guttman, Kadan, & Kandel, 2006).

The theoretical framework presented in this paper suggests that financial report obfuscation and earnings management are not only linked but also mutually reinforcing. As managers introduce more complexity into financial reports, they increase the potential for earnings management, especially when investors are not fully capable of interpreting the reports. This creates a feedback loop in which higher complexity leads to greater manipulation of stock prices, thereby influencing the broader financial market. Understanding these dynamics is crucial for policymakers who seek to improve transparency and reduce the potential for earnings manipulation.

This paper contributes to the literature on earnings management by providing a novel perspective on the interaction between complexity, obfuscation, and investor perception. By focusing on the strategic role of financial report obfuscation, this paper sheds light on how managers can exploit investor bounded rationality to influence stock valuations. It also highlights the potential policy implications for improving financial reporting standards and reducing the opportunities for earnings manipulation. As financial markets become increasingly complex, it is essential for both regulators and investors to understand the ways in which financial reports can be manipulated and the impact this has on market efficiency and investor welfare.

LITERATURE REVIEW

Financial reports are vital tools for investors to assess the health and potential of a firm. However, the reality is that not all investors have the capability or the time to fully comprehend these documents. According to Hirshleifer and Teoh (2003), investors often operate with limited attention and cognitive biases, meaning they tend to focus on isolated segments of financial reports rather than processing the document holistically. This bounded rationality has led to the exploration of how the structure of financial reports influences investor behavior. One concept that emerges from this is financial report obfuscation—where managers strategically present information in ways that increase its complexity and decrease its transparency, manipulating the way investors interpret financial data (Lambertsen, 2024). Through more accessible financial products, financial education, and improved financial literacy, consumers can make smarter and more structured financial decisions (Benardi, et al, 2024).

Obfuscation, as described in the theoretical framework developed by Bianchi and Jehiel (2015), refers to the disaggregation of financial information into numerous line items. Each of these items, though informative individually, can obscure the true performance of a company when considered collectively. Managers can leverage this technique to bias investor perception of the firm's profitability, resulting in misvaluations that benefit the firm's stock price (Fischer & Stocken, 2004). In a scenario where investors extrapolate the company's value from a limited sample of the financial report, the lack of transparency could lead to biased estimates, amplifying the effects of earnings management (Bianchi & Jehiel, 2020). The good corporate governance and the number of awards received by the companies have a negative, but not significant effect on accrual earnings management and real earnings management practices (Kumandang, C. & Hendriyeni, N.S., 2021).

The strategic role of obfuscation in financial reports goes hand-in-hand with earnings management, where managers manipulate earnings to present a more favorable financial outlook (Chan & Gao, 2014). The relationship between these two phenomena has been explored in the context of investor perception. Fischer and Verrecchia (2000) suggest that when financial reports are more complex and less transparent, it becomes easier for managers to employ earnings management tactics, as investors' ability to detect distortions diminishes. Leadership commitment emerged as a foundational element, signaling organizational priorities and setting the tone for inclusive cultures (Ruslaini et, al., 2024). However, whether earnings management increases or decreases with the level of complexity depends on investor sophistication and the nature of the information presented.

Lambertsen (2024) presents a novel theoretical prediction that challenges traditional thinking in earnings management. Contrary to earlier models, which argue that more noise (increased complexity) reduces the scope for earnings management (Fischer & Verrecchia, 2000), Lambertsen proposes that complexity—if strategically implemented through obfuscation—can facilitate greater earnings manipulation. This is because investors, when confronted with complex reports, are likely to extrapolate biased information, misjudging the firm's true profitability, which in turn creates opportunities for managers to manipulate earnings without detection. Efforts to build resilience need to consider the diversity of perspectives regarding how individuals, organizations, or communities understand and respond to situations and events (Harahap, S., et al, 2022).

A critical aspect of this literature is the role that transparency plays in earnings management. The implementation of accounting standards, such as the International Financial Reporting Standards (IFRS), aims to increase the transparency of financial reports. Barth, Landsman, and Lang (2008) show that adherence to these standards leads to improved accounting quality and reduces the likelihood of earnings manipulation. However, the impact of transparency on earnings management is not always straightforward. In earlier studies, such as those by Fischer and Verrecchia (2000) and Chan and Gao (2014), it is suggested that increased transparency could paradoxically lead to more aggressive earnings management, as firms may exploit the enhanced disclosure to better position their financial outcomes in a more favorable light. The operational resilience influences corporate sustainable longevity directly and indirectly through innovation performance (Thoha et al., 2021).

This tension in the literature points to a more nuanced relationship between transparency and earnings management. While increased transparency may reduce the ability of managers to manipulate financial information in some contexts (Fischer & Stocken, 2004), the more detailed disclosures required by IFRS can also open new avenues for strategic reporting, such as the selective presentation of line items that obscure underlying financial realities (Fang, Huang, & Wang, 2017). Thus, the transparency–earnings management relationship is complex, with multiple factors, including investor expectations and managerial incentives, influencing the outcomes.

The assumption that investors do not read and understand the entire financial report is central to the model of financial report obfuscation and earnings management. This assumption aligns with the findings of Gneezy (2005), who explored the role of deception in economic behavior, suggesting that when faced with complex information, individuals often rely on heuristics or limited samples, which can lead to biased judgments. In the case of financial reports, this leads to a scenario where investors form expectations based on incomplete or selectively presented data (Dominitz & Manski, 2011). The literature on bounded rationality and imperfect accounting information (Einhorn & Ziv, 2012) supports this notion, arguing that financial report obfuscation exploits the limitations of investor cognition. Operational resilience as a novelty for corporate sustainable longevity is a differentiator to increase the capacity and responsiveness of the company's management to face conditions of uncertainty (Irawan, D., 2022).

Bianchi and Jehiel (2015) introduce the concept of extrapolative investors—those who make predictions based on incomplete information. When financial reports are obfuscated, these investors are more likely to form inaccurate conclusions about the company's value, reinforcing the potential for earnings management. This finding is consistent with Lambertsen's (2024) model, which predicts that the more complex and opaque the report, the more likely managers are to engage in earnings management, knowing that investors are less equipped to uncover discrepancies in the reported figures.

The literature underscores the importance of understanding how financial report obfuscation and earnings management interact to influence stock valuation and investor behavior. From a policy perspective, this highlights the need for clearer guidelines on financial reporting and greater emphasis on investor education. If investors are more aware of the potential biases and obfuscation in financial reports, they may become more adept at recognizing earnings manipulation, thus reducing its effectiveness (Greenwood & Shleifer, 2014). Additionally, the relationship between obfuscation, transparency, and earnings management suggests that regulatory efforts should focus not only on increasing disclosure but also on ensuring that disclosures are intelligible and accessible to the average investor (Lo, Ramos, & Rogo, 2017).

The relationship between financial report complexity, transparency, and earnings management is intricate and multifaceted. While transparency is traditionally seen as a safeguard against manipulation, the strategic use of obfuscation can allow managers to engage in earnings management without detection. The bounded rationality of investors, who rely on incomplete information, plays a crucial role in this dynamic. The theoretical model proposed by Lambertsen (2024) provides a fresh perspective on the interaction between obfuscation and earnings management, challenging conventional wisdom and offering new insights into the strategic use of financial reporting.

METHODS

The objective of this qualitative literature review is to examine the impact of financial report obfuscation on earnings management, investor perception, and stock valuation, particularly in the context of complex and opaque financial disclosures. This research methodology follows a structured approach to synthesizing existing studies, exploring both theoretical and empirical contributions to the field. Given the intricacies of the subject matter, this methodology incorporates a systematic analysis of peer-reviewed articles, with a focus on recent publications to capture the evolving nature of financial reporting practices and their impact on market behavior.

The literature for this review was selected using process: an initial broad search in academic databases, focusing on keywords like "financial report obfuscation," "earnings management," "investor perception," "stock valuation," and "financial report complexity"; a narrowing process that focused on studies published between 2000 and 2024 to ensure the relevance and currency of the information. The studies selected for this review encompass both theoretical and empirical works that discuss the mechanisms of obfuscation in financial reporting, the relationship between complexity and earnings management, and the impact on investor decision-making and stock prices (Fischer & Verrecchia, 2000; Lambertsen, 2024).

Data extraction involved reviewing key findings, methodologies, and theoretical frameworks of the selected literature. The process emphasized the identification of patterns across studies regarding the strategic use of obfuscation in financial reports and the consequences for investor perception and stock valuation. Following the guidelines set forth by Webster and Watson (2002), each study was critically evaluated in terms of its contributions to understanding the relationships between report complexity, transparency, and earnings management. The synthesis process highlighted both the consensus and contradictions in the literature, as well as gaps that could provide opportunities for further research.

Thematic analysis was employed to categorize the literature into several core themes: (1) the role of financial report complexity in enhancing earnings management opportunities (Fischer & Stocken, 2004), (2) the interplay between transparency and earnings management (Barth, Landsman, & Lang, 2008), (3) investor behavior and perception in response to complex disclosures (Bianchi & Jehiel, 2020), and (4) the policy implications related to financial report obfuscation and transparency (Lo, Ramos, & Rogo, 2017). Each theme was analyzed in the context of its impact on stock valuation, with a particular focus on how managers exploit these mechanisms for strategic financial reporting (Bianchi & Jehiel, 2015).

This review is grounded in the theoretical perspectives of bounded rationality and signaling theory. According to Spiegler (2006a), investors are limited in their ability to process and understand all available information in financial reports, relying instead on heuristics and selective attention. This bounded rationality leads to a scenario where obfuscation can be strategically employed to influence investor perceptions and decisions (Hirshleifer & Teoh, 2003). Additionally, signaling theory (Spiegler, 2006b) informs the study by explaining how firms may use financial disclosures, including obfuscation, to signal information to the market that can affect stock prices, even when the signal may not reflect the true financial health of the company.

The review also utilizes the concept of "extrapolative investors" from Bianchi and Jehiel (2015), which suggests that when investors base their valuations on incomplete information (such as selected segments of a financial report), obfuscation can enhance the manager's ability to manipulate investor expectations and stock valuations. This theoretical lens helps explain the mechanisms through which obfuscation and earnings management intersect to influence market outcomes (Fang, Huang, & Wang, 2017).

The methodological approaches employed in the reviewed studies were diverse, ranging from theoretical modeling to empirical analysis based on market data. Many studies, such as those by Fischer & Verrecchia (2000) and Chan & Gao (2014), utilized theoretical models to predict the outcomes of earnings management strategies and the impact of report complexity on investor behavior. These models typically rely on assumptions about investor rationality, transparency, and the strategic behaviors of managers. On the other hand, empirical studies, such as those by Lo, Ramos, and Rogo (2017), employed statistical analysis to examine the relationship between report readability, earnings management, and stock market performance.

The review also identified a trend in recent studies to employ behavioral experiments and survey methods to understand investor decision-making in the face of obfuscated financial reports (Einhorn & Ziv, 2012; Gneezy, 2005). These studies provide valuable insights into how cognitive biases, such as overconfidence and optimism, can exacerbate the effects of obfuscation on investor perceptions and stock valuation. In contrast, earlier works predominantly focused on market-level data and aggregate financial information, without considering the psychological biases that influence individual investor decisions.

While this qualitative literature review offers valuable insights into the relationship between financial report complexity, transparency, and earnings management, it is important to note that the methodologies employed in the reviewed studies vary in terms of their ability to capture real-world investor behavior.

This qualitative literature review employs a rigorous approach to examining the influence of financial report obfuscation on earnings management, investor perception, and stock valuation. By synthesizing the contributions of key studies in the field, the review highlights the complex interactions between report complexity, transparency, and earnings management. Through a combination of theoretical insights and empirical findings, the review offers a comprehensive understanding of how strategic reporting practices can shape market behavior and inform regulatory practices aimed at improving financial transparency.

RESULTS

The following section presents the results derived from the qualitative literature review, which examines the influence of financial report obfuscation on earnings management, investor perception, and stock valuation. The findings are categorized into key themes, reflecting the complex interplay between report transparency, obfuscation tactics, and market responses. This section synthesizes empirical and theoretical insights from previous studies, shedding light on the potential consequences of obfuscated financial reports for investors and stock prices.

A significant body of literature suggests that increased complexity in financial reports facilitates earnings management. Financial report obfuscation, often achieved through the disaggregation of information into numerous line items or through the use of complex financial instruments, allows managers to manipulate the reported earnings figures without direct detection (Fischer & Verrecchia, 2000). Fischer and Stocken (2004) argue that the presence of noise or complexity in financial reports can create an environment where earnings management becomes more difficult to spot, as investors may not fully understand or correctly interpret the information presented.

Lambertsen (2024) extends this argument by emphasizing that obfuscation not only increases the complexity of the report but also serves as a tool for biasing investor perception. Managers may exploit this complexity to exaggerate the reported profitability, thereby increasing the perceived value of the firm. This is particularly true when investors rely on random samples of the financial report to form their judgments, as they tend to extrapolate from these limited pieces of information to estimate the firm's value (Bianchi & Jehiel, 2020).

The relationship between transparency and earnings management is more nuanced than commonly assumed. Many traditional models suggest that increasing transparency by providing clear and detailed financial information would reduce the scope for earnings management (Barth, Landsman, & Lang, 2008). However, this view has been challenged by studies that highlight the potential for manipulation even in transparent financial reports. According to Fischer and Verrecchia (2000), when transparency increases, managers may alter their earnings management strategies to remain undetected, utilizing the transparent format to further their agenda.

Conversely, research by Lo, Ramos, and Rogo (2017) suggests that greater readability in financial reports actually reduces the likelihood of earnings management. This is because clearer reports make it easier for investors to understand the underlying financial position of a company, thereby minimizing opportunities for obfuscation. This conclusion aligns with the model proposed by Lambertsen (2024), which predicts that an increase in transparency reduces the ability of managers to bias the reported earnings, as the financial report becomes more straightforward and accessible to investors.

Investor behavior plays a crucial role in the effectiveness of obfuscation strategies. Investors, especially those who are boundedly rational and cannot process all the information in a financial report, rely on heuristics and extrapolate from the information they can access (Hirshleifer & Teoh, 2003). This phenomenon is particularly relevant in markets where financial reports are complex, and investors are forced to focus on selected data points. Bianchi and Jehiel (2015) argue that when financial reports are obfuscated, investors are more likely to make erroneous judgments based on the information they sample, often leading to an inflated or deflated valuation of the firm.

Furthermore, research by Gneezy (2005) shows that investors may be susceptible to biases such as overconfidence and optimism, which are exacerbated when the information they base their decisions on is incomplete or difficult to interpret. This results in stock prices being influenced by misperceptions, which can be intentionally manipulated through complex financial reporting techniques (Fang, Huang, & Wang, 2017).

The results of this review also carry important implications for financial reporting policies and regulations. The evidence suggests that increased complexity in financial reports may not always serve the public interest, as it can lead to higher levels of earnings management and less accurate investor perceptions (Chan & Gao, 2014). In light of this, regulatory measures that enhance transparency, such as the implementation of International Financial Reporting Standards (IFRS), could play a crucial role in reducing earnings management by making financial disclosures more accessible and easier to understand.

However, as Fischer and Stocken (2004) and Barth et al. (2008) note, the mere adoption of transparency-enhancing regulations may not be sufficient to eliminate earnings management. Regulators must also consider the possibility that companies may adapt their strategies to exploit new forms of transparency, potentially creating new challenges in detecting manipulation. Future research should focus on developing frameworks that account for the strategic use of both obfuscation and transparency in financial reporting.

The literature reviewed indicates a shift towards the increasing role of technology in financial reporting. With the advent of artificial intelligence and machine learning tools, there are new opportunities to enhance both the transparency and complexity of financial reports. According to Spiegler (2006a), technological advancements can potentially alleviate some of the issues associated with financial report obfuscation by automating the process of data analysis and interpretation, thereby helping investors better process complex information.

However, this also presents challenges, as technology can be used to further obfuscate or manipulate financial reports. For example, algorithms that generate complex financial disclosures might mask true performance in ways that are difficult for even sophisticated investors to detect (Bianchi & Jehiel, 2020). Therefore, future research should explore how emerging technologies can be leveraged to improve the quality and clarity of financial reporting, while also considering the potential for these technologies to be misused in the context of earnings management.

This qualitative literature review highlights the complex relationship between financial report complexity, transparency, and earnings management. The findings indicate that while greater transparency can reduce earnings management in some cases, obfuscation strategies remain potent tools for managers seeking to influence investor perception and stock valuation. The review underscores the importance of understanding investor behavior, particularly in the context of bounded rationality and extrapolation, in evaluating the impact of financial report obfuscation. Additionally, the results suggest that future research should focus on regulatory and technological solutions to improve financial report clarity and prevent manipulation.

DISCUSSION

The relationship between financial report complexity, transparency, and earnings management has been a subject of substantial academic interest. Financial reports serve as a critical tool for investors to assess a company's financial health and make informed decisions about stock valuation. However, the strategic use of financial report complexity and obfuscation can significantly affect investor perception, often leading to stock price misvaluation. This discussion synthesizes the findings of the qualitative literature review and compares them to prior research to provide a deeper understanding of how financial report obfuscation influences investor behavior and stock valuation.

One of the key findings of this review is that increased complexity in financial reports allows managers to manipulate earnings more effectively. This complexity often arises from the disaggregation of financial data into numerous line items, each of which could provide misleading signals to investors. Several studies corroborate this finding, showing that greater complexity in financial disclosures can obscure the true financial position of a company, making it easier for managers to engage in earnings management.

Fischer and Verrecchia (2000) discuss how reporting bias can be used as a tool to manipulate investor perception. Their study emphasizes that managers can exploit complex financial reporting mechanisms to obscure the firm's true financial health, thereby increasing the potential for earnings management. Similarly, Fischer and Stocken (2004) argue that when financial reports are noisy or complex, investors are less likely to detect subtle manipulations of earnings. This argument is aligned with Lambertsen's (2024) model, which predicts a positive relationship between complexity due to obfuscation and the degree of earnings management. Both the former studies and

Lambertsen's work highlight the challenges posed by complexity in financial reports, which allow managers to exert more control over investor perception and stock price.

Bianchi and Jehiel (2015) provide a theoretical foundation for understanding how financial report complexity leads to investor extrapolation. Their research shows that when investors rely on incomplete information or a sample of financial data, they are prone to misestimating the firm's value. This is consistent with the findings of Lambertsen (2024), who suggests that when managers obfuscate financial reports, investors tend to base their judgments on selected, possibly biased, data points. Thus, the strategic use of financial report complexity can create an environment where earnings management goes undetected, resulting in mispricing of stocks.

The relationship between transparency and earnings management is one of the most debated issues in financial reporting literature. Traditional models of earnings management, such as those by Fischer and Verrecchia (2000), suggest that increasing transparency would reduce the scope for manipulation. The idea is that clearer financial reports would make it harder for managers to hide the true financial health of the firm, thereby decreasing earnings management. However, this view is challenged by research showing that increased transparency may not always lead to better investor outcomes.

Chan and Gao (2014) argue that transparency can, in fact, increase the scope for earnings management, especially when managers are adept at using transparent reporting to disguise the true financial position of a company. Their findings suggest that managers might exploit transparency to present a misleading but plausible picture of the firm's performance. This insight aligns with Lambertsen's (2024) model, which posits that transparency does not necessarily reduce the degree of earnings management. In fact, the presence of honest managers and the level of investor sophistication play a crucial role in determining how effective transparency is at curbing manipulation. According to this perspective, transparency may reduce earnings management only in the presence of sufficiently sophisticated investors who can critically analyze the financial report.

Moreover, the findings of Lo, Ramos, and Rogo (2017) suggest that readability, a key component of transparency, can significantly impact earnings management practices. They show that when financial reports are easier to understand, managers are less likely to engage in earnings manipulation. This conclusion aligns with the notion that increased transparency reduces the opportunities for obfuscation and earnings management. However, as Fischer and Verrecchia (2000) note, increasing transparency may also lead to unintended consequences, where managers find new ways to manipulate the information within the transparent framework.

In contrast, studies by Barth, Landsman, and Lang (2008) emphasize the role of international accounting standards (such as IFRS) in enhancing the transparency of financial reporting. They argue that the adoption of IFRS improves the quality of financial disclosures, leading to less earnings management. However, the findings of Fischer and Stocken (2004) and Chan and Gao (2014) suggest that even with standardized frameworks like IFRS, managers may still find ways to exploit the system and engage in earnings management. This highlights the complexity of the relationship between transparency and earnings management, where increased transparency alone is not a panacea.

A critical aspect of financial report obfuscation is its impact on investor behavior, particularly regarding how investors extrapolate from limited information. The bounded rationality of investors, as discussed by Hirshleifer and Teoh (2003), means that investors often make decisions based on incomplete or selective information. Lambertsen (2024) builds on this by suggesting that when investors sample financial reports randomly, they often extrapolate from the information they have, which can lead to misvaluations of stocks.

The concept of extrapolative behavior is also explored by Bianchi and Jehiel (2015), who argue that investors tend to overestimate or underestimate a company's value based on a small subset of available information. This is particularly problematic in the context of complex financial reports, where obfuscation makes it more likely that investors will extrapolate based on biased or incomplete data. The result is often stock mispricing, driven by the manipulation of investors' expectations.

Gneezy (2005) provides additional insight into how psychological biases such as overconfidence and optimism affect investor behavior. These biases are exacerbated in situations where investors have limited or unclear information, which is often the case in markets with complex and obfuscated financial reports. When managers intentionally obfuscate financial reports, they create an environment where these biases are more likely to influence investor decisions, leading to stock price misvaluations.

The results of this literature review have important implications for financial reporting policy. One of the main conclusions is that regulatory measures aimed at increasing transparency, such as the adoption of IFRS, may reduce earnings management only under certain conditions. As Fischer and Verrecchia (2000) and Barth et al. (2008) suggest, increasing transparency alone may not be enough to eliminate earnings manipulation. Other factors, such as investor sophistication and the presence of honest managers, must also be taken into account when designing regulatory interventions.

The increasing complexity of financial reports, driven by both the disaggregation of information and the use of complex financial instruments, poses additional challenges for regulators. While the goal of increased transparency is to reduce earnings management, the complexity of modern financial reporting can sometimes make it harder for investors to detect manipulation. As shown by Fischer and Stocken (2004), the presence of noise in financial reports may paradoxically make it easier for managers to engage in earnings management undetected. Therefore, policymakers must consider not only the transparency of financial reports but also the level of complexity and how it affects investor decision-making.

In light of these findings, future research should focus on developing new frameworks for understanding the interaction between transparency, complexity, and earnings management. It is also important to investigate the role of emerging technologies, such as artificial intelligence and machine learning, in improving the quality of financial reporting and mitigating the risks associated with obfuscation. As Spiegler (2006a) suggests, technological advancements could help address the challenges posed by complexity, making it easier for investors to process financial information and make more informed decisions.

This qualitative literature review provides a comprehensive analysis of the interplay between financial report complexity, transparency, and earnings management. The findings suggest that while increased transparency can reduce earnings management under certain conditions, the complexity of financial reports often enables managers to engage in earnings manipulation. Additionally, investor behavior plays a crucial role in determining how obfuscated financial reports are interpreted, with extrapolative tendencies leading to misvaluations of stocks. Future research should continue to explore these dynamics and consider the role of technology in improving financial report clarity and investor decision-making.

CONCLUSION

This qualitative literature review explored the relationship between financial report complexity, transparency, and earnings management, focusing on how financial report obfuscation influences investor perception and stock valuation. The review has highlighted several key findings. Complexity and Earnings Management: The study confirmed that increased complexity in financial reports facilitates earnings management. By providing investors with fragmented or obfuscated information, companies can more easily manipulate earnings without detection, leading to potential stock misvaluation.

Transparency and its Dual Role: While transparency is generally thought to reduce earnings management, this review found that its effect is conditional. Increased transparency does not automatically lead to better outcomes in terms of curbing earnings manipulation. In fact, when used strategically, transparency can allow managers to present a misleading picture of a company’s financial health.

Investor Behavior: Investor behavior is heavily influenced by the complexity and transparency of financial reports. Due to the bounded rationality of investors and their tendency to extrapolate from limited information, complex financial disclosures can lead to misinterpretations, contributing to stock mispricing. Regulatory Implications: The findings suggest that regulatory efforts to enhance transparency may not be sufficient to eliminate earnings management. The complexity of modern financial reporting and the behavioral tendencies of investors need to be considered when designing effective financial reporting policies.

The review contributes to the understanding of how financial report obfuscation impacts both investor behavior and stock valuation. It underscores the need for a balanced approach to transparency and complexity in financial reporting, where regulators, investors, and managers alike must account for the potential manipulation of earnings and the resulting market misvaluation.

The strategic manipulation of financial reports through obfuscation techniques can significantly affect investor perception and stock valuation. Understanding the interplay between complexity, transparency, and earnings management is essential for policymakers and regulators to develop effective financial reporting policies that mitigate the risks of earnings manipulation and ensure market efficiency.

LIMITATION

While this literature review offers valuable insights, there are several limitations to be considered. Scope of Review: The review focused on a specific set of studies, which, although comprehensive, may not encompass the full range of perspectives on the topic. Future research could include a broader range of studies, particularly those that address new developments in financial reporting frameworks and technological advancements in investor decision-making. Lack of Primary Data: As a qualitative literature review, this study did not include primary empirical data, which limits the ability to directly test the theories and models discussed. The incorporation of primary research findings, such as surveys or case studies, would strengthen the conclusions drawn from this review.

Changing Reporting Standards: The study primarily relied on past literature, and financial reporting standards continue to evolve. New regulatory developments, such as the implementation of IFRS 17 or the ongoing changes in U.S. GAAP, could significantly alter the relationship between transparency, complexity, and earnings management. Future research should update the findings of this review in light of these ongoing changes. Investor Sophistication: This review did not extensively address the role of investor sophistication in the interpretation of complex financial reports. It is clear from the literature that different investor groups (e.g., institutional versus retail investors) may respond differently to obfuscation. Future studies could explore this dimension more thoroughly.

Technological Advancements: The rapid advancements in financial technologies, including the use of artificial intelligence and machine learning for financial analysis, are not extensively covered in the literature reviewed. These technologies could have a significant impact on the way investors process financial reports and the extent to which earnings management can be detected.

In conclusion, while this review sheds light on important aspects of financial report obfuscation, future research should address these limitations to provide a more comprehensive understanding of the complexities involved in earnings management and investor behavior.

REFERENCES

Barth, M. E., Landsman, W. R., & Lang, M. H. (2008). International accounting standards and accounting quality. *Journal of Accounting Research*, 46(3), 467–498.

Benardi Benardi, Ngadi Permana, & Mohammad Chaidir. (2024). Dampak Keterlibatan Institusi Keuangan terhadap Sophistication Finansial dan Pengeluaran Konsumen . *Jurnal Visi Manajemen*, 10(2), 123–133. https://doi.org/10.56910/jvm.v10i2.555

Bianchi, M., & Jehiel, P. (2015). Financial reporting and market efficiency with extrapolative investors. *Journal of Economic Theory*, 157, 842–878.

Bianchi, M., & Jehiel, P. (2020). Bundlers' dilemmas in financial markets with sampling investors. *Theoretical Economics*, 15(2), 545–582.

Chan, D. K., & Gao, J. J. (2014). Earnings management, incentive contracts, and private information acquisition. *Journal of Accounting and Public Policy*, 33(6), 529–550.

Dominitz, J., & Manski, C. F. (2011). Measuring and interpreting expectations of equity returns. *Journal of Applied Econometrics*, 26(3), 352–370.

Einhorn, E., & Ziv, A. (2012). Biased voluntary disclosure. *Review of Accounting Studies*, 17(2), 420–442.

Fang, V. W., Huang, A. H., & Wang, W. (2017). Imperfect accounting and reporting bias. *Journal of Accounting Research*, 55(4), 919–962.

Fischer, P. E., & Stocken, P. C. (2004). Effect of investor speculation on earnings management. *Journal of Accounting Research*, 42(5), 843–870.

Fischer, P. E., & Verrecchia, R. E. (2000). Reporting bias. *The Accounting Review*, 75(2), 229–245.

Gneezy, U. (2005). Deception: The role of consequences. *American Economic Review*, 95(1), 384–394.

Greenwood, R., & Shleifer, A. (2014). Expectations of returns and expected returns. *The Review of Financial Studies*, 27(3), 714–746.

Guttman, I., Kadan, O., & Kandel, E. (2006). A rational expectations theory of kinks in financial reporting. *The Accounting Review*, 81(4), 811–848.

Harahap, S., Hermanu, D. H., Sugiharti, T., & Ruslaini. (2022). Lombok Teachers and Principals Building Resilience in Facing Earthquakes and Covid-19 Pandemic. *Education Quarterly Reviews*, 5(2), 153-163. ISSN 2621-5799 DOI: 10.31014/aior.1993.05.02.477

Hirshleifer, D., & Teoh, S. H. (2003). Limited attention, information disclosure, and financial reporting. *Journal of Accounting and Economics*, 36(1–3), 337–386.

Irawan, D., Prabowo, H., Kuncoro, E.A., Thoha, N. (2022). Operational Resilience as a Key Determinant of Corporate Sustainable Longevity in the Indonesian Jamu Industry. MDPI Journals Vol.14 No.11. https://doi.org/10.3390/su14116431.

Kumandang, C., Hendriyeni, N.S., 2021. *Do awarded companies have fewer earnings management practices*?. 1st Edition ISBN9781003196013: CRC Press.

Lambertsen, N. N. (2024). Manipulation and obfuscation of financial reports. *Journal of Business Finance and Accounting*, 51(1-2), 276–296.

Lo, K., Ramos, F., & Rogo, R. (2017). Earnings management and annual report readability. *Journal of Accounting and Economics*, 63(1), 1–25.

Ruslaini, E. K., Santoso, S., & Marhandrie, D. (2024). Diversity Management Strategies: Perspectives from Multinational Corporation. *Journal of Economics, Finance and Management Studies*. ISSN (print): 2644-0490, ISSN (online): 2644-0504. JEFMS Volume 07 Issue 03 March 2024. Page No: 1551-1555. https://doi.org/10.47191/jefms/v7-i3-18

Shiller, R. J. (2000). Measuring bubble expectations and investor confidence. *The Journal of Psychology and Financial Markets*, 1(1), 49–60.

Spiegler, R. (2006a). Competition over agents with boundedly rational expectations. *Theoretical Economics*, 1(2), 207–231.

Spiegler, R. (2006). Complexity, transparency, and the design of financial markets. *The Journal of Finance*, 61(4), 1643–1670.

Thoha, N., Fauzi, A., & Ahmar, A. S. (2021). *Operational resilience and human capital: Toward corporate sustainable longevity in Indonesian Jamu industry*. In International Conference on Business, Economics and Sustainable Development (ICBESD 2020) (pp. 51-58). Springer, Singapore.