

Optimazing Financial Reporting Accuracy: The Role of Incentive Contract and Managerial Effort

by Yessica Amelia

Submission date: 31-Jul-2024 03:01PM (UTC+0700)

Submission ID: 2425224738

File name: VOL.1_NO.1_JANUARY_2024_PAGE_28-35.docx (53.44K)

Word count: 2755

Character count: 19128

Optimizing Financial Reporting Accuracy: The Role of Incentive Contract and Managerial Effort

Yessica Amelia^{1*}, Eri Kusnanto²

^{1,2} STIE Kasih Bangsa, Indonesia

*yessica@stiekasihbangsa.ac.id

9
Address: 8, Jl. Dr. Kasih No. 1, RT. 8/RW. 1, Kb. Jeruk, Kec. Kb. Jeruk, West Jakarta City,
Special Capital Region of Jakarta 11530

Author correspondence: yessica@stiekasihbangsa.ac.id

Abstract. *This research explores the complex relationship between managerial effort and the best incentive contracts in order to improve the accuracy of financial reporting. Finding emphasize how crucial conservative accounting policies in reducing agency conflicts and ensuring the accuracy of financial reports. According to the analysis, managerial effort plays a critical role in the collection and validation of financial data, improving openness and building stakeholder trust. The practical implications imply that by encouraging managerial discretion in information gathering and coordinating incentive contracts with shareholder interests, companies might enhance the integrity of financial reporting. Strong regulatory frameworks that encourage accountability and lessen information asymmetry in corporate governance are required by the policy implications.*

Keywords : *incentive contracts, managerial effort, financial reporting accuracy, conservative accounting, corporate governance*

1. INTRODUCTION

In corporate governance and debt contracting, the interplay between optimal incentive contracts and managerial effort in enhancing financial reporting accuracy is crucial for maintaining efficient capital allocation and mitigating agency costs. Debt contracts often incorporate accounting-based covenants that trigger corrective actions when reported performance deviates unfavorably. These covenants empower lenders to intervene, potentially leading to project liquidation or renegotiation based on the accuracy of financial reports. Managers, as stewards of firms, possess a unique advantage in acquiring additional information beyond accounting reports to better assess firm performance. This ability is incentivized by optimal contract designs that align managerial incentives with shareholder interests, balancing the costs of false alarms and undetected underperformance.

The decision to adopt conservative or liberal accounting practices influences these dynamics significantly, impacting the frequency of covenant violations and subsequent lender interventions. This review explores how managers incentives to gather information interact with financial reporting rules to optimize firm decision-making under uncertainty. We analyze theoretical models that delineate conditions under which conservative reporting, which increases the likelihood of early warning signals for lenders but risks false alarms, becomes optimal. Conversely, liberal reporting, which reduces intervention but may obscure true

performance signals, is considered in light of managerial incentives to uncover informational discrepancies.

Our analysis builds upon foundational studies examining the economic implications of accounting conservatism in debt contracting (e.g., Ball, 2001; Watts, 2003). These studies argue that conservative reporting enhances the credibility of financial statements, thereby facilitating more efficient capital allocation. However, concerns arise regarding the potential costs of conservatism, including increased false alarms that could prematurely trigger lender interventions.

Central to our investigation is the role of managerial effort in information acquisition post-accounting report release. Managers, motivated by private benefits and the desire to avoid project liquidation, may invest in gathering additional evidence to validate or challenge reported performance metrics. This managerial diligence affects the optimal design of incentive contracts that balance the costs and benefits of information acquisition against potential project outcomes. Understanding how managerial actions and conservative accounting practices interact is essential to optimizing financial reporting for improved decision-making and stakeholder trust. Based on empirical evidence, conservative accounting methods have been found to reduce agency conflicts and improve the accuracy of financial disclosures, which in turn improves the efficiency of the capital market (Ball, 2001; Watts, 2003). On the other hand, there's a chance that false alarms could result in unneeded lender interventions and project delays.

Empirical evidence supports the theoretical framework by demonstrating that firms adopting conservative accounting policies experience higher incidences of covenant violations (Zhang, 2008). Conversely, liberal reporting may reduce the precision of financial signals, influencing lenders' decisions to renegotiate debt contracts outside of formal covenant breaches (Roberts, 2015). Understanding how managerial actions and conservative accounting practices interact is essential to optimizing financial reporting for improved decision-making and stakeholder trust. Based on empirical evidence, conservative accounting methods have been found to reduce agency conflicts and improve the accuracy of financial disclosures, which in turn improves the efficiency of the capital market (Ball, 2001).

This study aims to investigate the complex link that exists between managerial effort, financial reporting accuracy, and appropriate incentive contracts. The research attempts to provide a thorough knowledge of how these components interact to affect corporate governance and decision-making processes.

2. LITERATURE REVIEW

Financial reporting accuracy plays a pivotal role in corporate governance, particularly in the context of debt contracting and optimal incentive design for managers. The interaction between incentive contracts and managerial effort in acquiring and disclosing information is crucial for mitigating agency costs and aligning managerial actions with shareholder interests (Christian Laux & Volker Laux, 2024). Empirical studies highlight the significance of conservative accounting practices in enhancing the credibility of financial reports. Conservative reporting reduces the risk of over-optimistic earnings manipulation, thereby increasing transparency and reliability for stakeholders (Ball, 2001; Watts, 2003). This approach is essential in debt contracting, where accurate financial information influences lenders' decisions regarding covenant compliance and subsequent interventions (Zhang, 2008).

Managerial incentives to gather additional information beyond accounting reports are influenced by the structure of incentive contracts and the private benefits associated with project continuation. Laux and Laux (2024) emphasize that managers, motivated by the desire to protect their private benefits and reputational capital, engage in information acquisition to mitigate the costs of erroneous financial reporting signals.

Theoretical models suggest that optimal incentive contracts should align managerial interests with shareholder value maximization. This alignment involves balancing the costs of managerial effort in acquiring information against the benefits of accurate financial reporting, which reduces agency costs and enhances firm efficiency (Gigler et al., 2009). Recent research by Roberts (2015) underscores that dynamic renegotiation and asymmetric information play significant roles in shaping debt contracting outcomes outside formal covenant breaches. These insights indicate that managerial information acquisition post-accounting report release influences renegotiation dynamics and the efficacy of debt contract enforcement mechanisms.

Furthermore, empirical findings support the hypothesis that conservative accounting policies increase the likelihood of covenant waivers by lenders following violations. This phenomenon reflects the higher credibility associated with conservative reporting, which reduces the perceived risk of false alarms triggering unnecessary corrective actions (Gigler et al., 2009; Lambert, 2010).

The literature underscores the intricate relationship between optimal incentive contracts, managerial effort in acquiring information, and financial reporting accuracy in corporate governance and debt contracting. Conservative accounting practices emerge as a viable strategy to mitigate agency costs, enhance transparency, and align managerial incentives with shareholder interests. Future research should continue to explore how technological

advancements and regulatory changes impact these dynamics, offering insights into evolving best practices in financial reporting and corporate governance.

3. METHODS

The initial step involves systematically identifying relevant literature from academic databases, and specific journals. Keywords including "optimal incentive contracts," "managerial effort," "financial reporting accuracy," and "accounting conservatism" are used to narrow down the search results. Studies selected for inclusion are those published in peer-reviewed journals between 2000 and 2024, focusing on theoretical and empirical analyses related to the role of incentive contracts in managerial behavior, financial reporting practices, and their impact on corporate governance. Exclusion criteria include non-peer-reviewed articles, books, and studies outside the scope of financial reporting and corporate governance.

Data extraction involves systematically reviewing selected articles to extract relevant information on theoretical frameworks, empirical methodologies, key findings, and implications. Each study's contribution to understanding optimal incentive structures, managerial information acquisition, and the effects on financial reporting accuracy is synthesized to provide a cohesive narrative. The synthesized literature is analyzed to identify common themes, theoretical gaps, methodological approaches, and emerging trends in the field. Special attention is given to contrasting viewpoints and empirical evidence that supports or challenges prevailing theories on the optimal design of incentive contracts and their implications for financial reporting. Christian Laux and Volker Laux's recent study on "Accounting conservatism and managerial information acquisition" (2024) provides a foundational framework for understanding how conservative reporting practices influence managerial behavior and financial reporting accuracy. Their insights are integrated into the broader context of incentive contract theory and empirical research on corporate governance.

4. RESULTS

The literature emphasizes the importance of incentive contracts in aligning managerial interests with shareholder objectives. Optimal incentive structures are designed to motivate managers to exert effort in acquiring and disclosing accurate information about firm performance (Gigler et al., 2009). Theoretical frameworks suggest that such contracts mitigate agency costs by reducing the likelihood of managerial opportunism and enhancing the reliability of financial reports (Watts, 2003).

Empirical studies provide empirical support for the theoretical propositions, highlighting the effectiveness of conservative accounting practices in enhancing financial reporting accuracy. Conservative reporting reduces the incidence of over-optimistic reporting biases, thereby increasing the credibility of financial statements (Ball, 2001; Zhang, 2008). This practice is particularly beneficial in debt contracting scenarios where accurate reporting influences lenders' decisions regarding covenant compliance and subsequent interventions (Gigler et al., 2009). According to Lambert (2010), companies that implement conservative accounting systems tend to have greater rates of covenant violations. This can be attributed to the increased credibility that conservative reporting carries, which lowers the perceived danger of false alarms leading to needless corrective activities. The role of managerial effort in acquiring additional information beyond accounting reports is critical. Managers, motivated by the desire to protect private benefits and reputational capital, engage in information acquisition to mitigate the costs associated with erroneous financial reporting signals (Christian Laux & Volker Laux, 2024). This effort not only improves the accuracy of financial disclosures but also influences the effectiveness of debt contract enforcement mechanisms, such as covenant waivers and renegotiations (Roberts, 2015).

The literature review underscores the broader implications of optimal incentive contracts and managerial effort on corporate governance practices. Effective incentive structures enhance transparency and accountability, thereby fostering trust among stakeholders and improving firm performance (Watts, 2003; Lambert, 2010). Moreover, insights from empirical studies suggest that well-designed incentive contracts reduce agency costs and promote efficient resource allocation within organizations (Zhang, 2008; Gigler et al., 2009). Literature review provides a comprehensive overview of how optimal incentive contracts and managerial effort contribute to enhancing financial reporting accuracy in corporate governance. The synthesis of theoretical insights and empirical evidence highlights the complexities of incentive contract design and underscores the importance of managerial incentives in shaping financial reporting practices.

5. DISCUSSION

This discussion aims to critically analyze and contextualize the implications of the reviewed literature, drawing comparisons with previous research to elucidate key themes and contributions to the field. The review highlights the foundational role of incentive contracts in aligning managerial incentives with shareholder interests. Optimal incentive structures are designed to mitigate agency conflicts by encouraging managers to act in ways that maximize

firm value (Watts, 2003). According to Ball (2001), conservatism in accounting practices enhances the reliability of financial reports, thereby reducing information asymmetry between managers and external stakeholders. This theoretical underpinning suggests that effective incentive contracts not only incentivize accurate financial reporting but also facilitate efficient corporate governance mechanisms.

Empirical studies corroborate these theoretical assertions by demonstrating that conservative accounting practices increase the credibility of financial statements. Zhang (2008) argues that conservatism benefits both lenders and borrowers in debt contracting scenarios by reducing the likelihood of over-optimistic reporting biases. Gigler et al. (2009) further explore how accounting conservatism enhances the efficiency of debt contracts, showing that conservative reporting reduces agency costs associated with managerial opportunism.

A crucial aspect of the literature review is the role of managerial effort in enhancing financial reporting accuracy. Managers, driven by private benefits and the desire to protect their reputational capital, engage in information acquisition to validate or challenge accounting reports (Christian Laux & Volker Laux, 2024). This proactive behavior not only improves the quality of financial disclosures but also influences the effectiveness of debt contract enforcement mechanisms, such as covenant waivers and renegotiations (Roberts, 2015). Previous studies have explored similar themes, albeit with varying emphases on the impact of managerial discretion and information asymmetry on financial reporting outcomes. For instance, Roberts (2015) discusses how dynamic renegotiation processes in debt contracts are influenced by managers' ability to acquire and interpret additional information about firm performance. This contrasts with the findings of Ball (2001), who argues that conservative reporting practices limit managerial discretion and mitigate adverse selection problems in debt contracting.

The literature review underscores broader implications for corporate governance practices and stakeholder trust. Effective incentive structures not only enhance transparency and accountability within organizations but also foster trust among stakeholders (Lambert, 2010). According to Watts (2003), well-designed incentive contracts reduce agency costs and promote efficient resource allocation, thereby improving overall firm performance. Comparative analysis with previous research highlights consistent findings regarding the benefits of conservative accounting practices in mitigating agency conflicts and enhancing financial reporting quality. Lambert (2010) discusses how conservative reporting practices reduce the incidence of over-optimistic reporting biases, thereby increasing the reliability of financial disclosures. This aligns with the findings of Zhang (2008), who argues that

conservative accounting policies enhance the credibility of financial statements by reducing the likelihood of misleading reporting. The review also addresses the role of managerial discretion in influencing contracting efficiency and financial reporting outcomes. Managers, motivated by private benefits and the desire to avoid reputational damage, strategically acquire information to validate accounting reports (Christian Laux & Volker Laux, 2024). This behavior influences the renegotiation of debt contracts and the enforcement of covenant compliance, as discussed by Roberts (2015) in the context of dynamic renegotiation processes. Comparative studies, such as those by Gigler et al. (2009), highlight how different accounting policies affect managerial behavior and financial reporting outcomes. Conservative reporting practices reduce agency costs associated with managerial opportunism, thereby enhancing contracting efficiency and stakeholder trust. This contrasts with studies emphasizing the role of managerial discretion in influencing financial reporting outcomes, such as those by Ball (2001), who argues that conservative accounting practices limit managerial discretion and mitigate adverse selection problems in debt contracting.

6. CONCLUSION

This study emphasizes how important it is to have ideal incentive contracts in order to match management incentives with shareholder interests, which reduces agency conflicts and improves the accuracy of financial reporting. According to theoretical viewpoints presented by Watts (2003) and Ball (2001), conservative accounting techniques are crucial for boosting the accuracy and legitimacy of financial statements. Studies with empirical data, including those by Zhang (2008) and Gigler et al. (2009), demonstrate how conservatism enhances contracting efficiency and lessens information asymmetry in debt agreements. A key factor in determining the quality of financial reporting is managerial effort, with managers actively seeking out and confirming data to improve the correctness of accounting disclosures (Christian Laux & Volker Laux, 2024). This proactive conduct boosts stakeholder confidence in financial reporting procedures while also promoting efficient company governance. The results have practical relevance for creating incentive contracts that motivate managers to report financial information accurately. Adopting conservative accounting practices and strengthening managerial discretion in information acquisition can help organizations become more transparent and accountable. When creating regulatory frameworks that support financial reporting integrity and reduce agency costs in corporate governance, policymakers might take these observations into account.

7. LIMITATION

Despite its contributions, this literature review has certain limitations. The scope is mostly limited to theoretical and empirical research on incentive contracts and accurate financial reporting. Further investigations could delve into additional variables impacting managerial conduct and reporting protocols, such as cultural and technical developments. Furthermore, The review predominantly relies on published literature up to the present date, which may not encompass the latest developments in accounting research. Continuous updates and reviews of emerging literature are necessary to capture evolving trends and advancements in financial reporting practices.

Future research will be expanded to overcome the noted limitations and investigate new research avenues, which will inform best practices in financial reporting and corporate governance.

REFERENCES

- 7 Ball, R. (2001). *Infrastructure requirements for an economically efficient system of public financial reporting and disclosure*. Journal of Accounting Research, 39(Supplement), 173-181. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1475-679X.00023>
- 14 Christian Laux, Volker Laux. (2024). *Accounting conservatism and managerial information acquisition*. Journal of Accounting and Economics, 77(2-3), 101630. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jacceco.2023.101630>
- 5 Gigler, F., Kanodia, C., & Sapra, H. (2009). *Accounting conservatism and the efficiency of debt contracting*. Journal of Accounting Research, 47(3), 767-797. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jacceco.2007.07.001>
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jfineco.2014.12.002>
<https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1475-679X.2009.00336.x>
- 4 Lambert, R. (2010). *Discussion of 'Implications for GAAP from an analysis of positive research in accounting.'* Journal of Accounting and Economics, 50(2-3), 193-197. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jacceco.2010.10.007>
- 6 Roberts, M. (2015). *The role of dynamic renegotiation and asymmetric information in financial contracting*. Journal of Financial Economics, 115(3), 471-485.
- 2 Watts, R. (2003). *Conservatism in accounting Part I: Explanations and implications*. Accounting Horizons, 17(3), 207-221. <https://doi.org/10.2308/acch.2003.17.3.207>
- Zhang, J. (2008). *The contracting benefits of accounting conservatism to lenders and borrowers*. Journal of Accounting and Economics, 45(1), 27-54.

Optimazing Financial Reporting Accuracy: The Role of Incentive Contract and Managerial Effort

ORIGINALITY REPORT

11%	10%	7%	6%
SIMILARITY INDEX	INTERNET SOURCES	PUBLICATIONS	STUDENT PAPERS

PRIMARY SOURCES

1	jurnal-mnj.stiekasihbangsa.ac.id	2%
	Internet Source	
2	Kevin C. Smith. "An Option-Based Approach to Measuring Disclosure Asymmetry", The Accounting Review, 2023	2%
	Publication	
3	ouci.dntb.gov.ua	1%
	Internet Source	
4	www.scholink.org	1%
	Internet Source	
5	www.researchgate.net	1%
	Internet Source	
6	www.ieseeg.fr	1%
	Internet Source	
7	scipg.com	1%
	Internet Source	
8	e-journal.uingusdur.ac.id	<1%
	Internet Source	

9	jurnal2.untagsmg.ac.id Internet Source	<1 %
10	Kanbiro Orkaido Deyganto, Edward Lambert, Yafet Hailu G/Selassie, Ayano Lemita. "The Effect Credit Monitoring Activities on Financial Reporting Quality of Private Banks in Ethiopia", Qeios, 2024 Publication	<1 %
11	doi.org Internet Source	<1 %
12	qspace.qu.edu.qa Internet Source	<1 %
13	www.iikii.com.sg Internet Source	<1 %
14	research.wu.ac.at Internet Source	<1 %
15	aaajournals.org Internet Source	<1 %
16	spectrum.library.concordia.ca Internet Source	<1 %
17	www.macrothink.org Internet Source	<1 %
18	Christian Laux, Volker Laux. "Accounting Conservatism and Managerial Information	<1 %

Acquisition*", Journal of Accounting and Economics, 2023

Publication

Exclude quotes	Off	Exclude matches	Off
Exclude bibliography	Off		

Optimazing Financial Reporting Accuracy: The Role of Incentive Contract and Managerial Effort

GRADEMARK REPORT

FINAL GRADE

GENERAL COMMENTS

/0

PAGE 1

PAGE 2

PAGE 3

PAGE 4

PAGE 5

PAGE 6

PAGE 7

PAGE 8