

Dynamic Workload Adjustment in Condition-Based Maintenance: A Review of Robust Optimization Approaches for Production Systems

¹ A. Sigit Pramono Hadi, ² Benardi Benardi, ³ Cahyati Kumandang

^{1, 2, 3}, Management STIE Kasih Bangsa, Jakarta Indonesia

Email: sigit@stiekasihbangsa.ac.id, benardi@stiekasihbangsa.ac.id, cahyatih@stiekasihbangsa.ac.id

ABSTRACT : *This qualitative literature review explores "Dynamic Workload Adjustment in Condition-Based Maintenance: A Review of Robust Optimization Approaches for Production Systems." The study synthesizes recent advancements in condition-based maintenance (CBM) frameworks, focusing on the application of robust optimization techniques to enhance production efficiency and maintenance decision-making. The review highlights the importance of dynamic workload adjustment as a crucial strategy for aligning maintenance activities with fluctuating production demands, ultimately aiming to minimize downtime and optimize resource utilization. By analyzing eight key studies in the field, this review identifies the strengths and challenges associated with the implementation of robust optimization models in CBM practices. Key findings indicate that while robust optimization significantly improves maintenance outcomes, challenges remain in terms of complexity, required skill sets, and organizational culture. The review concludes by emphasizing the need for further empirical research and the exploration of hybrid models to fully realize the benefits of dynamic workload adjustment in diverse industrial contexts. This study provides a comprehensive overview that will aid researchers and practitioners in understanding and advancing condition-based maintenance methodologies.*

Keywords: *Condition-Based Maintenance, Dynamic Workload Adjustment, Robust Optimization, Production Systems, Literature Review*

1. INTRODUCTION

Dynamic workload adjustment in condition-based maintenance (CBM) presents a novel paradigm in managing production systems subject to degradation. This approach fundamentally addresses the critical challenge of balancing production efficiency and reliability, particularly in environments where system performance deteriorates over time. Degradation, defined as a cumulative decline in system performance characteristics, poses significant risks, ultimately leading to system failures when degradation exceeds a predetermined threshold (Elwany et al., 2011; Chen et al., 2022). Conventional CBM strategies often rely on monitoring degradation levels and employing preventive maintenance when the degradation surpasses specific thresholds (Kouvelis et al., 2005). However, these strategies typically overlook the controllable aspects of future system usage that can significantly influence degradation rates.

Recent advancements in production systems underscore the need for a proactive approach to managing degradation through dynamic workload adjustment. This method involves actively modulating the production rate before maintenance events, thus addressing the degradation before it reaches critical levels. By strategically adjusting workloads, production systems can mitigate failure risks while optimizing production profits. For instance, the adjustment of manufacturing speeds in stamping machines (Hao et al., 2015) or filtration

rates in waterworks (Sun et al., 2019) illustrates the practical applicability of dynamic workload adjustment. Additionally, the Internet of Things (IoT) technology enables real-time adjustments to production rates, further enhancing this approach (uit het Broek et al., 2020).

Despite its potential advantages, the integration of dynamic workload adjustment into production and maintenance planning introduces complexities, primarily due to the inherent stochastic nature of system degradation. Traditional methods have employed stochastic degradation models, such as Wiener or gamma processes, which are used to derive numerical solutions via Markov decision processes (MDP) (Panagiotidou & Tagaras, 2010; Li & Ryan, 2011; Ye & Xie, 2015; Yildirim et al., 2016; Hu et al., 2021; Zhang et al., 2022). However, reliance on predetermined stochastic models can lead to substantial challenges, particularly when the actual degradation processes deviate from the assumed models. This model misspecification can inflate maintenance costs and compromise system reliability.

Robust optimization emerges as a promising framework to address these challenges. By focusing on minimizing worst-case scenarios within an uncertainty set, robust optimization effectively captures the complexities of uncertain degradation processes while ensuring optimal production and maintenance decisions (Bertsimas & Sim, 2004). This approach acknowledges that degradation rates are often not accurately captured by predetermined stochastic models, providing a more resilient and adaptable strategy for managing production systems (Wang et al., 2020; Kim, 2016).

In this literature review, we explore various robust optimization strategies for dynamic workload adjustment in CBM, highlighting their implications for production systems. We begin by examining the relationship between production rates and degradation rates, acknowledging the existing literature that suggests an increase in degradation rates correlates with higher production rates. The trade-off between production profits and failure risks is a central theme that underscores the need for effective workload adjustment strategies.

Previous studies have primarily focused on static or deterministic degradation models, neglecting the adaptability required in dynamic production environments. Recent research, however, has begun to explore the controllability of degradation through adjustable production rates (Sun et al., 2019; Basciftci et al., 2020). By employing robust optimization frameworks, these studies demonstrate significant reductions in operational costs and failure risks, even when confronted with complex degradation dynamics.

One of the pivotal contributions of robust optimization to CBM is its ability to derive structural properties of optimal production and maintenance strategies. By establishing the relationship between workload adjustments and degradation rates, researchers can devise

effective policies that adapt in real-time to degradation signals. This adaptability is particularly crucial in environments where degradation rates can fluctuate, necessitating continuous monitoring and adjustments.

Furthermore, we will assess the implications of the robust optimization framework in the context of real-time decision-making. The ability to implement closed-form solutions for optimal production rates offers significant advantages in operational efficiency and responsiveness. As evidenced by numerical experiments conducted using real production-degradation datasets (Qiuzhuang Sun et al., 2023), robust models outperform traditional stochastic approaches, yielding lower mean and variance in cost rates, even in scenarios of model misspecification.

In summary, the exploration of dynamic workload adjustment in CBM through robust optimization offers a transformative perspective on managing production systems subject to degradation. This literature review aims to synthesize recent advancements and provide insights into the potential applications of robust optimization frameworks in enhancing production efficiency while safeguarding against failure risks. By addressing the limitations of conventional approaches and emphasizing the importance of adaptability in production environments, this study contributes to the ongoing discourse on innovative maintenance strategies.

2. LITERATURE REVIEW

Dynamic workload adjustment in condition-based maintenance (CBM) is gaining attention as a crucial factor for enhancing production systems while mitigating the risks associated with system degradation. CBM strategies traditionally focus on monitoring degradation levels and scheduling maintenance actions when these levels exceed certain thresholds (Elwany et al., 2011). However, recent studies emphasize the importance of proactively managing production rates to influence degradation dynamics positively (Chen et al., 2022).

A significant body of literature highlights the relationship between production rates and degradation rates, demonstrating that higher production speeds can accelerate degradation (Sun et al., 2019). This correlation necessitates a nuanced approach to workload adjustment, where production decisions are informed by real-time degradation assessments. For instance, the study by Hao et al. (2015) illustrates how adjusting manufacturing speeds in response to degradation signals can substantially reduce failure risks while maintaining production efficiency. These findings underscore the potential of integrating real-time data into decision-

making processes, a theme echoed in research by uit het Broek et al. (2020), which discusses the role of IoT technologies in facilitating such adjustments.

The challenge of managing production systems in the presence of degradation uncertainty is addressed through robust optimization frameworks. Robust optimization is particularly relevant in CBM contexts, as it allows for decision-making under uncertainty by focusing on the worst-case scenarios (Bertsimas & Sim, 2004). Wang et al. (2020) demonstrate the applicability of robust optimization in adaptive maintenance strategies, revealing significant cost savings and improved reliability metrics compared to traditional methods.

Despite the advantages of robust optimization, its implementation requires an understanding of the underlying degradation processes. Traditional stochastic models, such as Wiener or gamma processes, have often been employed to represent degradation dynamics (Yildirim et al., 2016). However, as noted by Hu et al. (2021), reliance on predetermined stochastic models can lead to challenges when actual degradation patterns deviate from these assumptions. This concern is echoed in the work of Kim (2016), which emphasizes the need for robust models capable of adapting to fluctuating degradation rates.

Recent research has begun to address the gap between traditional maintenance strategies and the need for dynamic workload adjustments. For example, the study by Qiuzhuang Sun et al. (2023) explores robust condition-based production and maintenance planning, highlighting the effectiveness of real-time adjustments in response to degradation signals. The authors found that implementing a robust optimization framework not only reduced maintenance costs but also improved overall system reliability. This reinforces the assertion that adaptive decision-making is essential in production environments where degradation rates can vary significantly.

Moreover, the interplay between production planning and maintenance scheduling has been investigated by Drent et al. (2022), who propose integrated approaches that optimize both production and maintenance activities under stochastic conditions. Their findings suggest that aligning production rates with maintenance schedules can lead to better overall system performance, further emphasizing the importance of dynamic workload adjustment in CBM contexts.

The integration of robust optimization strategies into CBM practices promises to enhance operational efficiency while minimizing risks associated with degradation. Previous studies, such as those by Basciftci et al. (2020), highlight the significance of data-driven approaches in optimizing maintenance and production decisions, advocating for the adoption of robust frameworks that can adapt to real-time degradation signals.

The literature indicates a growing recognition of the importance of dynamic workload adjustment in CBM through robust optimization approaches. These frameworks offer valuable tools for managing uncertainty and enhancing the reliability of production systems. Continued research is necessary to refine these methodologies, particularly in understanding the complex interactions between workload adjustments, degradation dynamics, and operational performance.

3. METHOD

The methodology for conducting this qualitative literature review on dynamic workload adjustment in condition-based maintenance (CBM) is structured to ensure a comprehensive and systematic exploration of existing literature. The review process follows a multi-step approach, comprising selection criteria, literature search strategies, data extraction, and qualitative synthesis.

To establish a focused scope, specific inclusion and exclusion criteria were defined. Studies were included if they: (1) addressed dynamic workload adjustment in CBM, (2) utilized robust optimization techniques, and (3) were published in peer-reviewed journals between 2015 and 2024. Exclusion criteria involved papers that lacked empirical data or did not provide substantial theoretical insights into the subject. This approach aligns with the recommendations of Moher et al. (2015), emphasizing the importance of clear criteria for selecting relevant literature.

A systematic literature search was conducted using academic databases. The search terms included “dynamic workload adjustment,” “condition-based maintenance,” and “robust optimization,” in various combinations. This strategy ensured a comprehensive coverage of relevant literature, as recommended by Kitchenham and Charters (2007), who advocate for using a diverse set of databases to capture the breadth of research in a particular area.

Following the literature search, relevant articles were screened based on titles and abstracts. The full texts of the selected articles were then reviewed to extract pertinent information, including the study objectives, methodologies, findings, and implications. This step is critical, as noted by Liberati et al. (2009), who emphasize the importance of detailed data extraction to maintain the integrity and reliability of the review process. A data extraction form was developed to facilitate consistent documentation across studies.

The synthesis of the literature involved a qualitative analysis of the extracted data. Thematic analysis was employed to identify key themes related to dynamic workload adjustment and robust optimization in CBM. This approach aligns with the guidance provided

by Braun and Clarke (2006), who outline a systematic process for thematic analysis that allows for rich and detailed interpretations of the data. Themes were derived inductively from the literature and included factors influencing workload adjustment, the role of real-time data, and the effectiveness of various optimization frameworks.

To ensure the credibility and reliability of the findings, a critical evaluation of the included studies was conducted. This involved assessing the quality of the methodologies employed in the studies, the robustness of the findings, and the relevance of the conclusions drawn. The evaluation process was informed by the criteria proposed by Petticrew and Roberts (2008), which stress the importance of scrutinizing the quality of evidence in literature reviews.

Although this review does not involve human subjects, ethical considerations were observed in the selection and reporting of literature. Proper citations and acknowledgment of original authors were ensured to maintain academic integrity.

This methodology for the qualitative literature review provides a structured and rigorous approach to understanding dynamic workload adjustment in condition-based maintenance. The systematic search, data extraction, thematic synthesis, and critical evaluation all contribute to a comprehensive understanding of the current state of research in this field.

4. FINDINGS

The qualitative literature review revealed several key findings regarding dynamic workload adjustment in condition-based maintenance (CBM) through robust optimization approaches within production systems. The analysis synthesized insights from various studies, highlighting trends, challenges, and the effectiveness of current methodologies.

Importance of Dynamic Workload Adjustment. A recurring theme in the literature is the critical role of dynamic workload adjustment in enhancing the efficiency and reliability of production systems. Several studies emphasized that traditional maintenance approaches often fall short in addressing the complexities of modern manufacturing environments (Zhang et al., 2020; Li et al., 2021). Dynamic workload adjustment allows for real-time responses to equipment conditions, thereby minimizing downtime and optimizing resource allocation (Wang et al., 2019). This flexibility is essential for organizations aiming to improve operational resilience and adaptability.

Robust Optimization Techniques. The review identified a variety of robust optimization techniques employed in CBM frameworks. Techniques such as stochastic programming, fuzzy optimization, and multi-objective optimization were prevalent in the literature. For instance, Liu et al. (2022) presented a multi-objective robust optimization model that simultaneously

minimizes maintenance costs and maximizes equipment reliability. This approach illustrates the potential for balancing competing objectives, a critical factor for organizations facing resource constraints.

Integration of Real-Time Data. The integration of real-time data was another significant finding. Many studies highlighted that leveraging data from sensors and monitoring systems enhances decision-making processes in CBM (Chen et al., 2023; Gupta & Kumar, 2021). Real-time data enables organizations to implement predictive maintenance strategies, thereby facilitating timely interventions and reducing the risk of equipment failures. As noted by Khan et al. (2023), the use of data analytics in conjunction with robust optimization approaches allows for a more informed and agile response to changing production conditions.

Despite the promising findings, the literature also pointed out several challenges in implementing dynamic workload adjustment strategies. One notable challenge is the complexity of model formulation and the computational requirements associated with robust optimization techniques. Many organizations struggle with integrating these advanced methodologies into existing production systems (Ali et al., 2022). Furthermore, a lack of skilled personnel in data analysis and optimization was identified as a barrier to successful implementation (Ding et al., 2021).

The review highlighted several avenues for future research. There is a need for more empirical studies to validate the effectiveness of robust optimization techniques in real-world settings. Additionally, research could explore the development of hybrid models that combine various optimization approaches to better address the multifaceted challenges of CBM (Singh & Singh, 2022). Moreover, studies focusing on the human factors influencing the adoption of these technologies are necessary to understand how organizational culture impacts the successful implementation of dynamic workload adjustment strategies.

In summary, the qualitative literature review reveals that dynamic workload adjustment in condition-based maintenance through robust optimization approaches holds significant promise for enhancing production system efficiency. While various techniques have shown effectiveness in improving decision-making and operational flexibility, challenges related to implementation and skill gaps remain. Future research should focus on empirical validation, hybrid modeling, and understanding the human factors influencing technology adoption.

5. DISCUSSION

The qualitative literature review on dynamic workload adjustment in condition-based maintenance (CBM) through robust optimization approaches reveals significant insights into the evolving landscape of maintenance strategies in production systems. This discussion synthesizes key findings from the review while comparing eight relevant studies, emphasizing trends, challenges, and future directions.

The Need for Dynamic Workload Adjustment. The shift towards dynamic workload adjustment in CBM is driven by the increasing complexity and unpredictability of production environments. Traditional maintenance strategies, often reactive or scheduled, fail to accommodate the real-time needs of modern production systems (Zhang et al., 2020). For instance, Li et al. (2021) highlight that static maintenance approaches can lead to excessive downtime and higher operational costs. In contrast, dynamic workload adjustment allows organizations to respond promptly to equipment conditions, thus optimizing resource utilization and enhancing operational efficiency (Wang et al., 2019).

Moreover, Khan et al. (2023) emphasize that the flexibility afforded by dynamic adjustments not only minimizes downtime but also aligns maintenance activities more closely with production demands. This adaptability is essential for organizations striving to maintain competitive advantages in rapidly changing markets.

Robust Optimization Techniques in CBM. A substantial portion of the literature focuses on various robust optimization techniques employed in CBM frameworks. Techniques such as stochastic programming, fuzzy optimization, and multi-objective optimization have emerged as popular methodologies to address the uncertainties inherent in production systems (Liu et al., 2022).

For example, Liu et al. (2022) presented a multi-objective robust optimization model that successfully balances maintenance costs and equipment reliability. Their approach demonstrates the ability of robust optimization to manage trade-offs effectively, a sentiment echoed by Singh & Singh (2022), who argue that integrating multiple objectives can lead to more comprehensive maintenance strategies.

In comparison, Gupta & Kumar (2021) explore fuzzy optimization techniques that provide a flexible framework for dealing with imprecise data, common in maintenance decision-making. Their findings underscore the potential for fuzzy models to improve decision-making accuracy in CBM by accommodating uncertainties.

Integration of Real-Time Data. The integration of real-time data from monitoring systems and sensors is a recurring theme across the literature. This integration is crucial for

implementing predictive maintenance strategies that enhance decision-making processes (Chen et al., 2023). The ability to leverage real-time data allows organizations to perform timely interventions, ultimately reducing the risk of equipment failures (Ali et al., 2022).

For instance, the work of Ding et al. (2021) reveals that organizations utilizing real-time data analytics experience a notable reduction in maintenance costs and improved equipment uptime. Their findings align with those of Raza et al. (2023), who assert that the application of data analytics not only informs maintenance decisions but also enables organizations to shift from reactive to proactive maintenance practices.

Moreover, real-time data enhances the robustness of optimization models, as noted by Zhang et al. (2020). The authors highlight that incorporating real-time data into optimization frameworks leads to more accurate predictions of maintenance needs, thereby facilitating dynamic workload adjustments that are responsive to the actual conditions of equipment.

Despite the advantages of dynamic workload adjustment and robust optimization techniques, several challenges hinder their widespread implementation. One primary obstacle is the complexity of model formulation and the associated computational requirements. Many organizations struggle to integrate advanced methodologies into their existing production systems due to these complexities (Khan et al., 2023).

For instance, Ali et al. (2022) illustrate that the intricate nature of robust optimization models can lead to significant implementation challenges, particularly for organizations with limited technical expertise. Furthermore, the lack of skilled personnel in data analysis and optimization is identified as a barrier to the successful adoption of these advanced maintenance strategies (Ding et al., 2021).

In contrast, Gupta & Kumar (2021) suggest that organizations can mitigate these challenges by investing in training programs and adopting user-friendly optimization tools that simplify the modeling process. Their study highlights several successful case studies where organizations that prioritized employee training experienced smoother transitions to dynamic maintenance strategies.

This review synthesizes insights from various studies, enabling a comparative analysis of their findings. Table 1 summarizes key contributions from eight relevant studies, highlighting their methodologies, findings, and implications for dynamic workload adjustment in CBM.

Study	Methodology	Key Findings	Implications
Zhang et al. (2020)	Review	Emphasizes the need for real-time data integration in maintenance decision-making.	Highlights the shift from reactive to proactive maintenance.
Li et al. (2021)	Empirical	Dynamic workload adjustment leads to significant reductions in downtime.	Advocates for flexibility in maintenance scheduling.
Wang et al. (2019)	Case Study	Dynamic adjustments align maintenance with production demands.	Supports the integration of production and maintenance planning.
Liu et al. (2022)	Multi-objective Optimization	Balances maintenance costs and equipment reliability.	Shows the effectiveness of multi-objective approaches in maintenance.
Gupta & Kumar (2021)	Fuzzy Optimization	Improves decision-making accuracy through fuzzy models.	Suggests using fuzzy techniques to manage uncertainties in CBM.
Ali et al. (2022)	Qualitative	Identifies barriers to implementation, including skill gaps.	Calls for investment in training and education for maintenance personnel.
Ding et al. (2021)	Quantitative	Organizations leveraging real-time data experience reduced costs.	Supports the shift towards data-driven maintenance strategies.
Raza et al. (2023)	Longitudinal Study	Application of data analytics enables proactive maintenance practices.	Encourages the adoption of analytics in maintenance planning.

The discussion highlights several avenues for future research in the field of dynamic workload adjustment and CBM. While many studies have explored the theoretical aspects of robust optimization, empirical validation remains a significant gap. Future research should focus on case studies that assess the real-world effectiveness of these optimization techniques in diverse industrial settings (Singh & Singh, 2022).

Additionally, researchers should explore hybrid models that combine various optimization approaches to better address the multifaceted challenges of CBM (Chen et al., 2023). The integration of machine learning algorithms with robust optimization techniques offers exciting possibilities for enhancing decision-making in maintenance (Zhang et al., 2020).

Moreover, the human factors influencing the adoption of dynamic workload adjustment strategies warrant further investigation. Understanding how organizational culture, leadership, and employee engagement impact the successful implementation of these technologies will provide valuable insights for practitioners (Ding et al., 2021).

The qualitative literature review reveals that dynamic workload adjustment in condition-based maintenance through robust optimization approaches offers significant potential for enhancing production system efficiency. While various techniques demonstrate effectiveness in improving decision-making and operational flexibility, challenges related to implementation and skill gaps remain. The comparative analysis of previous studies emphasizes the importance of real-time data integration, robust optimization methodologies, and employee training in successfully implementing dynamic maintenance strategies. Future research should prioritize empirical validation, hybrid modeling, and the exploration of human factors to further advance the field of dynamic workload adjustment in CBM.

6. CONCLUSION

The qualitative literature review on "Dynamic Workload Adjustment in Condition-Based Maintenance: A Review of Robust Optimization Approaches for Production Systems" underscores the significant advancements and challenges in the field of condition-based maintenance (CBM). The integration of robust optimization techniques into CBM frameworks has been shown to enhance operational efficiency, reduce downtime, and better align maintenance activities with production demands. This review emphasizes that dynamic workload adjustment is a pivotal strategy for organizations aiming to improve their maintenance practices in an increasingly complex production environment.

The findings reveal that leveraging real-time data analytics and adopting robust optimization models can lead to substantial benefits in decision-making and resource allocation. However, the review also highlights critical challenges, such as the complexity of model implementation, the necessity for skilled personnel, and the importance of organizational culture in facilitating successful transitions to advanced maintenance strategies. Overall, the research indicates a clear need for further empirical validation and exploration of hybrid models to enhance the effectiveness of dynamic workload adjustment in CBM.

LIMITATIONS

While this qualitative literature review provides valuable insights, it is not without limitations. Scope of Literature: The review focuses primarily on published studies in English, potentially overlooking valuable research conducted in other languages or less accessible sources. This may limit the comprehensiveness of the findings.

Variety of Methodologies: The studies included in this review utilize a variety of methodologies, from case studies to theoretical models. This heterogeneity can lead to challenges in drawing consistent conclusions across different research designs.

Temporal Context: The field of condition-based maintenance is rapidly evolving, with new technologies and methodologies emerging regularly. Consequently, some insights may become outdated as new advancements are made in robust optimization techniques and maintenance strategies.

Generalizability: Many studies focus on specific industries or contexts, which may limit the generalizability of the findings to broader applications across different sectors.

Lack of Empirical Validation: While the review discusses various theoretical frameworks, there is a lack of empirical studies validating the effectiveness of dynamic workload adjustment in real-world settings. Future research should address this gap to ensure that the insights gleaned from the literature can be effectively implemented in practice.

In summary, while the review contributes significantly to the understanding of dynamic workload adjustment in CBM, the limitations outlined should be considered in interpreting the findings. Future research efforts should aim to address these limitations to enhance the robustness and applicability of the knowledge in this field.

REFERENCES

- Abbou, A., & Makis, V. (2019). *Group maintenance: A restless bandits approach*. INFORMS Journal on Computing, 31(4), 719–731. <https://doi.org/10.1287/ijoc.2019.0891>
- Ali, A., Malik, A., & Khan, M. A. (2022). *Challenges of implementing advanced maintenance strategies in manufacturing*. International Journal of Production Research, 60(11), 3245–3262. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00207543.2021.1933063>
- Arts, J., Basten, R., & Van Houtum, G. J. (2016). *Repairable stocking and expediting in a fluctuating demand environment: Optimal policy and heuristics*. Operations Research, 64(6), 1285–1301. <https://doi.org/10.1287/opre.2016.1471>
- Bandi, C., Bertsimas, D., & Youssef, N. (2015). *Robust queueing theory*. Operations Research, 63(3), 676–700. <https://doi.org/10.1287/opre.2015.1348>

- Basciftci, B., Ahmed, S., & Gebraeel, N. (2020). *Data-driven maintenance and operations scheduling in power systems under decision-dependent uncertainty*. IISE Transactions, 52(6), 589–602. <https://doi.org/10.1080/24725854.2020.1710602>
- Batun, S., & Maillart, L. M. (2012). *Reassessing trade-offs inherent to simultaneous maintenance and production planning*. Production and Operations Management, 21(2), 396–403. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1937-5956.2012.01306.x>
- Bensoussan, A., Mookerjee, V., & Yue, W. T. (2020). *Managing information system security under continuous and abrupt deterioration*. Production and Operations Management, 29(8), 1894–1917. <https://doi.org/10.1111/poms.13066>
- Bertsimas, D., & Sim, M. (2004). *The price of robustness*. Operations Research, 52(1), 35–53. <https://doi.org/10.1287/opre.1040.0074>
- Chen, P., & Ye, Z. S. (2018). *Uncertainty quantification for monotone stochastic degradation models*. Journal of Quality Technology, 50(2), 207–219. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00224065.2018.1442774>
- Chen, R., Zhang, Y., & Wang, Q. (2023). *Real-time data analytics for predictive maintenance: A comprehensive review*. Journal of Manufacturing Systems, 67, 174-192. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmsy.2022.11.007>
- Chen, Y., Qiu, Q., & Zhao, X. (2022). *Condition-based opportunistic maintenance policies with two-phase inspections for continuous-state systems*. Reliability Engineering & System Safety, 228, 108767. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.res.2022.108767>
- Ding, X., Xu, H., & Liu, Y. (2021). *Skills gap in advanced manufacturing: Barriers to implementation of Industry 4.0 technologies*. Journal of Manufacturing Technology Management, 32(7), 1325-1340. <https://doi.org/10.1108/JMTM-04-2021-0172>
- Drent, C., Drent, M., & Arts, J. (2022). *Condition-based production for stochastically deteriorating systems: Optimal policies and learning*. arXiv. <https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2308.07507>
- Drozdowski, M., Jaehn, F., & Paszkowski, R. (2017). *Scheduling position-dependent maintenance operations*. Operations Research, 65(6), 1657–1677. <https://doi.org/10.1287/opre.2017.1616>
- Elwany, A., Gao, W., & Wang, M. (2011). *Maintenance planning with uncertain failure rates and time-dependent costs*. Journal of Manufacturing Science and Engineering, 133(3), 031004. <https://doi.org/10.1115/1.4003633>
- Gupta, P., & Kumar, R. (2021). *Integration of IoT and data analytics in condition-based maintenance*. Journal of Industrial Information Integration, 22, 100194.

- <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jii.2021.100194>
- Hao, X., Wang, J., & Hu, J. (2015). *Control of production system degradation with state-dependent maintenance*. IISE Transactions, 47(12), 1557–1572.
<https://doi.org/10.1080/24725854.2015.1070495>
- Hu, J., Sun, Q., & Ye, Z. S. (2021). *Replacement and repair optimization for production systems under random production waits*. IEEE Transactions on Reliability, 71(4), 1488–1500. <https://doi.org/10.1109/TR.2021.3059484>
- Kim, M. J. (2016). *Robust control of partially observable failing systems*. Operations Research, 64(4), 999–1014. <https://doi.org/10.1287/opre.2016.1514>
- Kitchenham, B., & Charters, S. (2007). *Guidelines for performing systematic literature reviews in software engineering*. EBSE Technical Report. Retrieved from
<https://www.citeSeerX.psu.edu/viewdoc/summary?doi=10.1.1.1046.3281>
- Li, C., & Tomlin, B. (2022). *After-sales service contracting: Condition monitoring and data ownership*. Manufacturing & Service Operations Management, 24(3), 1494–1510.
<https://doi.org/10.1287/msom.2022.1095>
- Li, W., Zhang, L., & Yang, J. (2021). *Enhancing production efficiency through dynamic workload adjustment*. Production Planning & Control, 32(11), 963–975.
<https://doi.org/10.1080/09537287.2021.1937813>
- Liberati, A., Altman, D. G., Tetzlaff, J., et al. (2009). *The PRISMA statement for reporting systematic reviews and meta-analyses: Explanation and elaboration*. PLOS Medicine, 6(7), e1000100. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pmed.1000100>
- Liu, H., Zhang, J., & Wang, X. (2022). *Multi-objective robust optimization for maintenance scheduling: A case study*. Computers & Industrial Engineering, 165, 107948.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cie.2021.107948>
- Moher, D., Liberati, A., Tetzlaff, J., et al. (2015). *Preferred reporting items for systematic reviews and meta-analyses: The PRISMA statement*. PLOS Medicine, 6(7), e1000097. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pmed.1000097>
- Mookerjee, R., & Samuel, J. (2023). *Managing the security of information systems with partially observable vulnerability*. Production and Operations Management, 32, 2902–2920. <https://doi.org/10.1111/poms.13280>
- Panagiotidou, S., & Tagaras, G. (2010). *A model for condition-based maintenance with learning*. Manufacturing & Service Operations Management, 12(2), 330–344.
<https://doi.org/10.1287/msom.1100.0324>

- Petticrew, M., & Roberts, H. (2008). *Systematic Reviews in the Social Sciences: A Practical Guide*. Blackwell Publishing.
- Qiuzhuang Sun, P., Chen, P., Wang, X., & Ye, Z.-S. (2023). *Robust condition-based production and maintenance planning for degradation management*. *Production and Operations Management Society*, 32(12), 3951-3967.
<https://doi.org/10.1111/poms.14071>
- Raza, S., Khan, S., & Ali, M. (2023). *Data-driven decision-making in maintenance: A longitudinal study*. *Journal of Operations Management*, 68(2), 145-159.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jom.2023.02.004>
- Singh, A., & Singh, D. (2022). *Hybrid optimization approaches for condition-based maintenance: A review*. *Journal of Quality in Maintenance Engineering*, 28(2), 159-174.
<https://doi.org/10.1108/JQME-05-2021-0086>
- Sloan, T., & Shanthikumar, J. G. (2000). *Scheduling preventive maintenance and production in a two-machine flow shop*. *IIE Transactions*, 32(10), 953–963.
<https://doi.org/10.1080/07408170050201844>
- Srinivasan, R., & Mishra, D. (2020). *Resource allocation in smart manufacturing: An operations research approach*. *Journal of Manufacturing Systems*, 54, 28-39.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmsy.2019.09.005>
- Taleb, I., Ben Abdelkader, C., & Azzag, H. (2020). *A fuzzy multi-objective optimization model for production and maintenance scheduling*. *Journal of Manufacturing Systems*, 54, 10-20. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmsy.2020.09.002>
- Teng, W., & Liu, Z. (2016). *Dynamic pricing for remanufactured products with maintenance services*. *European Journal of Operational Research*, 251(2), 543–553.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejor.2015.12.015>
- Zhang, X., & Alsharif, M. (2019). *Maintenance scheduling optimization with multi-product and uncertain demand: An integrated model*. *Computers & Industrial Engineering*, 137, 106063. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cie.2019.106063>